A STUDY IN ROAST LEVELS

Comparing and Contrasting Two Coffees Roasted to Five Different Levels

Story and Photos by Coffee Analysts’ Staff

ROAST PROFILE DEVELOPMENT is both an art and a science. Determining what is best for each coffee is a difficult challenge—best blend, best roast level, best brewing method, and so on. Knowing what attributes you prefer is easy; however, when developing products to sell, predicting what customers will like is more difficult. While marketing managers and consumer insight professionals have protocols for determining consumer preferences and purchase intent, coffee roasters and product developers must conduct their own technical analysis when developing new products and optimizing existing ones.

The first step is to identify success criteria: What is good, what is not good, how will the coffee be evaluated, and, perhaps most importantly, to what will the coffee be compared? Product development has two important functions: creating a product that meets the quality and profile expectations of the company, and developing a product that will be purchased and enjoyed by consumers. Ultimately, the true measure of any coffee program is beverage quality—how does it taste? Branding, promotion and merchandising will capture the first sale, but only consistent quality will keep your customers returning time after time.
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We often hear, “The lighter the roast, the greater the acidity; the darker the roast, the fuller the body,” so we decided to study the effects of roast development on physical, chemical and sensory attributes.

The Project

We elected to evaluate two arabica coffees (one Central American and one East African) at five different roast levels for physical, chemical and sensory attributes. We set out to utilize two sensory protocols: cupping, as the standard professional analysis; and tasting, or evaluating brewed beverages from the consumer perspective. For consistency, we used the standard Specialty Coffee Association of America (SCAA) cupping form as the scoring method. Following SCAA protocols whenever possible, origins were sample roasted, then rested overnight and cupped by our sensory panel. To obtain tasting scores, origins were brewed on commercial Bunn Axiom brewers using a standard brewing formula and evaluated, again using the SCAA scoring method for consistency.

The Coffee Selection Process

La Minita provided the two green coffee lots for this project. For sample purposes, our only request was fresh green coffee from Central America and East Africa so we could study the differences between these two popular growing regions. As with any scientific study, having two data sets is important to help explain any anomalies or unexpected results. However, instead of using two similar coffees for this research project, we decided that two green coffees from different parts of the world would be more interesting. We selected a fully washed arabica from Costa Rica and a naturally fermented arabica from Kenya.

The Central American coffee was grown at Hacienda La Minita in the Tarrazú region of Costa Rica, on a farm founded by William McAlpin in 1978, with a total size of 1,200 acres.
This Rainforest Alliance-certified farm harvested by hand and processed the coffee in its wet mill and dry mill facilities. The coffee tested was harvested between 1,300 and 1,800 meters above sea level (MASL) and was made up of the following cultivars: caturra, catuai red, catuai yellow, and tipica hibrido.

The East African coffee was grown by the Mkumbune Farmer Coop Society in the Meru region of Kenya, in volcanic loam on the eastern slopes of Mount Kenya. The coffee tested was harvested at 1,550 MASL and was made up of traditional SL28 and SL34 varieties. The Mkumbune Farmers Coop Society is made up of approximately 400 small-holder farmers with an average of 200 trees each. These farmers also harvest by hand and deliver the fruit to the wet mill. The fully washed coffees are sun-dried on elevated tables at the Regeju Factory.

This 2015 model is available only from Ditting USA, Inc.

810-367-7125
8801-B Smiths Creek Rd
Wales, MI 48027 USA
www.ditting.com
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QUALITY IS THE CORE OF OUR BUSINESS, AND OLAM DELIVERS.

Plus, they’re helpful. When I have a question, my Coffee Trader is there for me. And when I’m busy, I can find pricing and even place orders online.

DAN STREETMAN
Green Coffee Buyer, Irving Farm Coffee Roasters
Physical analysis was completed on 350 grams of each coffee with the following results:

- The Costa Rica Tarrazú coffee had zero primary defects and one secondary defect. The total moisture upon receipt was 7.53 percent with a density of 0.713 g/ml³.
- The Kenya AA coffee had zero primary defects and two secondary defects. The total moisture was 7.27 percent with a density of 0.733 g/ml³, suggesting a greater concentration of cells per volume than the Costa Rica Tarrazú.

The Roast Process and Selection Of Roasted Samples

See Chart Section A on page 28

Five green samples of 165 grams each were prepared from each origin. These greens were roasted with Coffee Analysts’ Jabez Burns four-barrel sample roaster. The roasting followed SCAA protocol for roast development (length of roast). Roast temperatures were noted and logged during the process.
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1-minute intervals. After the roasting was complete, five samples were selected from each origin, fairly representing the lightest to darkest samples for this experiment. For this research, our goal was to produce five samples for analysis at visually different levels of roast development. We measured the roast development using an Agtron E-20 CP Analyzer, using the commercial scale for recording. The Costa Rica samples were 63.3, 52.1, 46.0, 36.6, and 28.4 (lightest to darkest). The Kenyan samples were 56.9, 50.1, 40.8, 37.3 and 27.9 (lightest to darkest).

Yield from each roast was between 140 and 150 grams. This allowed for each sample to be used for cupping (60 grams + purge) and brewing (77.9 grams) from the same roasted batch.

Per SCAA protocol, 100 grams from each roast was evaluated for the presence of quakers; there were no quakers present. (A quaker is an undeveloped coffee bean that doesn’t roast to the same level as others. Specialty-grade coffee has zero quakers in a 100-gram roasted sample.)

The Cupting Process
For each origin, coffee from each of the five roast levels was scaled into five cups and hydrated using 1.63 grams per fluid ounce of water. The samples were ground and evaluated by panelists using the SCAA scoring method.

The Brewing Process
For each origin, 77.9 grams was scaled, then ground into a filter and brewed using Bunn Axiom commercial equipment. Samples were drawn to determine and test for pH, brew solids and extraction. The brewed samples were poured into cupping bowls and arranged so that each panelist had a full set of all five roast levels to evaluate and note the sensory attributes. The SCAA scoring method was used for the brewed coffee samples to achieve uniformity in sensory evaluation.

Sensory Profile
Tasting and Cupping: Costa Rica Tarrazú

Scores for the Costa Rica coffee followed different sensory score trends for cupping and tasting. Cupping scores peaked at roast level 3 with body at greatest intensity at level 4 and acidity highest at level 2 and level 3, but dropping almost 3 points at level 5. Level 4 of the Costa Rica coffee produced similar scores for cupping and tasting. Level 3 had the greatest sensory score difference. The scores intersected after level 1 and at level 4, illustrating a reversal of intensity and quality between cupping and tasting.

Sensory Scores
Tasting and Cupping: Kenya AA

Sensory scores for the Kenya AA showed similar trends for cupping and tasting, both peaking at level 2 and scoring lower for dark roast levels. Cupping scores peaked at roast level 2 with...
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body at greatest intensity at level 3 and acidity highest at level 1 and level 2, but dropping 1.5 points at level 5. Level 2 of the Kenya produced similar scores for cupping and tasting. Level 3 had the greatest sensory score difference. The scores did not intersect, but followed similar trends.

**Brew Solids, Extraction and pH**

Prior to roasting, all green coffee has an abundance of amino acids and carbohydrates. The roasting process starts to convert and break down these components, eliminating some and creating new ones. In the green state, coffee has the highest amount of soluble material. As heat is applied, the amount of soluble material decreases until complete pyrolysis occurs. This general trend can be seen in the brew solids and extraction data charts. An anomaly occurred at roast level 4, which demonstrated a large increase in both the extraction percent and, therefore, brew solid levels. Because the samples all were brewed at the same coffee-to-water ratio, in the same brewers, at the same temperature, and tested identically, one can only posit that...
something inherent to the roast level caused this change. The occurrence of second crack happened at roast level 4, causing thermal expansion of the beans, creating a more porous cell structure that allows for greater extraction, and thus increased yield. However, a more thorough physical and chemical investigation of this phenomenon would be required for a conclusive answer.

pH is the measure of the acidity or alkalinity of an aqueous (water-based) solution, not the presence of organic acids. As expected, pH increased as the roast development darkened, with almost a 1-point range overall. However, it was interesting to observe the reduction of acidity, with pH data increasing, as the roast development increased to darker levels.
Summary of Observations

See Chart Section E, page 36

This was a project of discovery, not an experiment to prove or disprove a theory. Our team was excited to collect the technical data for the various roasts of these two coffees and genuinely curious regarding the outcome of these evaluations. We reminded ourselves that quantifying the intensity of attributes as well as the quality of sensorial characteristics does not always equate to consumer preference. Our goal was to use scientific tests to understand the effects of different roast levels on physical, chemical and sensorial attributes.

Our research confirmed our expectations that sensory perceptions change as roast development darkens for these two coffees. For both the Costa Rican and the Kenyan coffees tasted, lighter roasts were observed to have higher levels of intensity and quality for sensorial attributes than darker roasts.

Our data further supported the common industry understanding that “the lighter the roast, the greater the acidity; the darker the roast, the fuller the body.” As the roasts developed darker, the perception of intensity and quality of acidity diminished while the mouthfeel became more viscous.

In addition to the specific attribute changes related to roast level, it is important to note the variations in final sensory scores between cupping and tasting—or brewing—the same coffees. This suggests that product development should not be isolated in the laboratory but should consider consumer brewing methods as well.

Grinding is a fine art.

Colombini sees coffee grinding as a form of art, a tradition to be passed on from father to son. But any tradition, without innovation, can not last. For this reason Colombini has built its success developing new ideas. Day after day. It is not a coincidence that Colombini grinders are the difference between a good coffee and an excellent one.

Colombini grinders guarantee a production from 200 to over 1200 kg/h depending on the model.

The series Colombini TA is globally recognized as the tops for the production of monoaxes.

The Crixomak 1000-4AX, which now completes the Colombini Crixomak series, always guarantees:

• high production with low costs, with one of the lowest energy consumptions on the market
• constant and reliable results, thanks to their high precision settings
• ease of installation and extremely low maintenance costs
• the ability to obtain any particle size for any type of application, from Turkish coffee to filter

COFFEE ANALYSTS is an independent coffee testing laboratory certified by the Specialty Coffee Association of America. The company does not sell coffee; it tests coffee. The Coffee Analysts team specializes in the evaluation and improvement of coffee programs and supports quality programs throughout the global farm-to-cup supply chain. Coffee Analysts staff includes Tobin Jordan, Peter Montane, Gwen Toohey, Vince Calabro, David Morrill, Spencer Turer and Dan Cox.

The Costa Rican tasting samples, hot and cold.